

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/110,717	07/07/1998	RANDELL L. MILLS	9113-19-C16	5034
7590 08/10/2004 FARKAS & MANELLI P.L.L.C 2000 M STREET, N.W. 7TH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			KALAFUT, STEPHEN J	
WASHINGTON, DC 200363307			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1745	

DATE MAILED: 08/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		11/				
ition No.	Applicant(s)					
717	MILLS, RANDELL L					
ег	Art Unit					
ı J. Kalafut	1745					
e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
CATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Indonment of this application. A proper reply to						
eck either a) or b)]						
ne final rejection. Stion, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. THIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP						
nich the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension ed statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or in three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if b).						
must be filed within the period set forth in (I)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
eration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
orm for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the						
esponding number of fir	nally rejected claims	5.				
·						
able if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed a	amendment				
eration has been consid	dered but does NOT	place the				
not directed SOLELY to	issues which were	newly				
vill not be entered or b)[jected is provided belov		nd an				

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
09/110,717	MILLS, RANDELL L.	
Examiner	Art Unit	_
Stephen J. Kalafut	1745	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the

THE REPLY FILED 19 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLIC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid aban-final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely

condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) Light The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>5/19/2004</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE:
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected:
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 5/19/2004.
10.⊠ Other: See body of action

Art Unit: 1745

An appeal under 37 CFR 1.191 was filed in this application on 5/19/2004. Appellant's brief is due on 7/19/2004 in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).

The declaration will not be considered because it is not directed solely to issues raised in the final rejection. The declaration is based on articles submitted in an IDS after final rejection. Applicant has not fulfilled the requirements of 37 CFR 1.97(d). These articles thus are not yet entitled to consideration.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen J. Kalafut whose telephone number is 571-272-1286. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00 am-4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

9/1